arXiv:1605.02212v1 [math.FA] 7 May 2016

STRONG I AND I^*-STATISTICALLY PRE-CAUCHY DOUBLE SEQUENCES IN PROBABILISTIC METRIC SPACES

PRASANTA MALIK*, ARGHA GHOSH* AND MANOJIT MAITY**

* Department of Mathematics, The University of Burdwan, Golapbag, Burdwan-713104, West Bengal, India. Email: pmjupm@yahoo.co.in., buagbu@yahoo.co.in
** Boral High School, Kolkata-700154, India. Email: mepsilon@gmail.com

Abstract. In this paper we consider the notion of strong I-statistically pre-Cauchy double sequences in probabilistic metric spaces in line of Das et. al. [6] and introduce the new concept of strong I^*-statistically pre-Cauchy double sequences in real line as well as in probabilistic metric spaces. We mainly study inter relationship among strong I-statistical convergence, strong I-statistical pre-Cauchy condition and strong I^*-statistical pre-Cauchy condition for double sequences in probabilistic metric spaces and examine some basic properties of these notions.

Key words and phrases : Probabilistic metric space, strong I-statistical convergence, strong I-statistical pre-Cauchy condition, strong I^*-statistical pre-Cauchy condition.

AMS subject classification (2010) : 54E70, 40B05

1. Introduction

The notion of probabilistic metric (PM) was introduced by K. Menger [10] under the name of "statistical metric spaces" by considering the distance between two pints x and y as a distribution function F_{xy} instead of a non-negative real number and the value of the function F_{xy} at any $t > 0$ i.e. $F_{xy}(t)$ is interpreted as the probability that the distance between the points x and y is $\leq t$. After Menger, the theory of probabilistic metric was developed by Schwiezer and Sklar ([16], [17], [18], [19]), Tardiff [23], Thorp [24] and many others. A through development of probabilistic metric spaces (can be seen from the famous book Schwiezer and Sklar [20]. Many different topologies and it is the main tool of our paper.

The idea of usual notion of convergence of real sequences was extended to statistical convergence by Fast [8] and Schoenberg [15] independently. For the last few years a lot of work has been done on this convergence (see [2], [8], [9], [14], [22] etc.). In [2] the notion of statistically pre-Cauchy sequences of real numbers was introduced and it was shown that statistically convergent sequences are always statistically pre-Cauchy and the converse statement holds under certain conditions. The notion of statistical convergence was further extended to I-convergence [13] using the ideals of $\mathbb{N}$ and also to I-statistical convergence in [14]. The notion of strong I-statistical convergence for double sequences of real numbers had been introduced by Belen et. al. in [1]. Recently in [6] Das and Savas introduced the notion of I-statistically pre-Cauchy sequence of real numbers as a generalization of I-statistical convergence. They proved that every I-statistically convergent sequence is I-statistically pre-Cauchy and the converse is true under certain sufficient conditions.

Following the line of Das and Savas [6], in this paper we introduce the notion of strong I-statistically pre-Cauchy double sequences in probabilistic metric spaces. We also introduce the new notion of strong I^*-statistically pre-Cauchy double sequences in probabilistic metric spaces. We show that every strong I-statistically convergent double sequence is strong I-statistically pre-Cauchy and every strong I^*-statistically pre-Cauchy double sequence is strong I-statistically pre-Cauchy and the converse of each of the results holds under certain conditions.

1. **Preliminaries**

We now recall some definition and notation

Definition 1.1. If K is a subset of the set of positive integer $\mathbb{N}$, then K_n denotes the set $\{k \in K : k \leq n\}$and $|K_n|$ denotes the number of elements in K_n. The "natural density"of K [4] is given by $\delta(K) = \lim_{n\to\infty} |K_n| /n$.

Definition 1.2. [25] Let $X \neq \phi$. A class $I \subseteq 2^X$ of subsets of X is said to be an ideal in X provided that I satisfies these conditions:
(i)$\phi \in I$
(ii)$A, B \in I \Rightarrow A \cup B \in I$,
(iii)$A \in I, B \subseteq A \Rightarrow B \in I$

An ideal is called non-trivial if X $\notin I$.

Definition 1.3. [25] Let $X \neq \phi$;. A non-empty class $\mathbb{F}\subseteq 2^X$ of subsets of X is said to be a filter in X provided that:
(i)$\phi \notin \mathbb{F}$
(ii) $A, B \in \mathbb{F} \Rightarrow A \cap B \in \mathbb{F}$,
(iii)$A \in \mathbb{F}, B \supseteq A \Rightarrow B \in \mathbb{F}$.

The following result expresses a relation between the notions of ideal and filter:

Result 1. Let I be a non-trivial ideal in X, $X \neq \phi,$. Then the class $\mathbb{F}(I)=\{M \subseteq X : \exists A \in I : M = X \setminus A\}$ is a filter on X (we will call $\mathbb{F}(I)$ the filter associated with I).
The proof of result 1 is easy and so it can be left.
A non-trivial ideal I in X is called admissible if $\{x\} \in I$ for each $x \in X$.

Definition 1.4. [5] A non-trivial ideal I of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is called strongly admissible if $\{i\} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N} \times \{i\}$ belong to I for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Clearly every admissible ideal of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is strongly admissible.

We can also use the concept of porosity of subsets of a metric space.

The concept of statistical convergence and the study of similar types of convergence ([1], [2], [3], [4]) lead us to introduced the notion of I-convergence of sequences. This notion gives a unifying look at many types of convergence related to statistical convergence.

Definition 1.5. [25] : Let I be a non-trivial ideal of $\mathbb{N}$. A sequence $x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of real numbers is said to be I-convergent to $\zeta \in R$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$ the set $A(\epsilon) = \{n : |x_n - \zeta| \geq \epsilon\} \in I$.

If $x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is I-convergent to ζ we write $I - \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} x_n = \zeta(\ or\ I - limx = \zeta)$ and the number ζ is called the I-limit of x.

We now recall some basic concepts related to statistical convergence of double sequences (see [7] for more details). Let $K \subset \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$. Let $K(n,m)$ be the number of $(j,k) \in K$ such that $j \leq n, k \leq m$. The number $\overline{d}_2(K) = \limsup\limits_{\substack{m\to\infty \\ n\to\infty}} \frac{K(n,m)}{nm}$ is called the upper double natural density of K. If the sequence $\{\frac{K(n,m)}{nm}\}_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}}$ has a limit in Pringsheim's sense, then we say that K has the double natural density and it is denoted by

$$d_2(K) = \lim_{\substack{m\to\infty \\ n\to\infty}} \frac{K(n,m)}{nm}.$$

Definition 1.6. [7] A double sequence $x = \{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers is said to be statistically convergent to $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$, we have $d_2(A(\epsilon)) = 0$ where $A(\epsilon) = \{(j,k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}; |x_{jk} - \xi| \geq \epsilon\}$. In this case we write $st - \lim\limits_{\substack{j\to\infty \\ k\to\infty}} x_{jk} = \xi$.

A statistically convergent double sequence of elements in a metric space (X, ρ) is defined essentially in the same way using $\rho(x_{jk}, \xi) \geq \epsilon$ instead of $|x_{jk} - \xi| \geq \epsilon$.

Definition 1.7. [7] Let (X, ρ) be a metric space. A double sequence $x = \{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in X is said to be statistically Cauchy if for every $\epsilon \geq 0$, there exist natural numbers $N = N(\epsilon)$ and $M = M(\epsilon)$ such that for all $j, p \geq N$ and $k, q \geq M$,

$$d_2(\{(j,k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_{jk}, x_{pq}) \geq \epsilon\}) = 0$$

Theorem 1.1. [7] *A double sequence $x = \{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in a metric space (X, ρ) is statistically convergent to $\xi \in X$ if and only if there exists a subset $K = \{(j,k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\}$ of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_2(K) = 1$ and $\lim\limits_{\substack{j\to\infty \\ k\to\infty \\ (j,k)\in K}} x_{jk} = \xi$.*

Theorem 1.2. [7] *For a sequence $x = \{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in a metric space (X, ρ), the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) x is statistically convergent to $l \in X$.
(2) x is statistically Cauchy.
(3) There exists a subset $M \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_2(M) = 1$ and $\{x_{jk}\}_{(j,k)\in M}$ converges to l.

2. **Basic concepts of Probabilistic Metric Spaces.**

First we recall some basic concepts related to the probabilistic metric spaces (or PM spaces) which can be studied in details from the fundamental book [21] by Schweizer and Sklar.

Definition 2.1. A non decreasing function $F : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ defined on $\mathbb{R}$ with $F(-\infty) = 0$ and $F(\infty) = 1$, where $\mathbb{R} = [-\infty, \infty]$, is called a distribution function.

The set of all left continuous distribution function over $(-\infty, \infty)$ is denoted by Δ.

We consider the relation $\leq$ on Δ defined by $G \leq F$ if and only if $G(x) \leq F(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Clearly it can be easily verified that the relation '$\leq$' is a partial order on Δ.

Definition 2.2. For any $p \in [-\infty, \infty]$ the unit step at p is denoted by ϵ_p and is defined to be a function in Δ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_p(x) &= 0, \ -\infty \leq x \leq p \\ &= 1, \ p < x \leq \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.3. A sequence $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of distribution functions converges weakly to a distribution function F and we write $F_n \xrightarrow{w} F$ if and only if the sequence $\{F_n(x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to $F(x)$ at each continuity point x of F.

Definition 2.4. The distance between F and G in Δ is denoted by $d_L(F, G)$ and is defined as the infimum of all numbers $h \in (0, 1]$ such that the inequalities

$$F(x-h)-h \leq G(x) \leq F(x+h)+h$$
$$\text{and } G(x-h)-h \leq F(x) \leq G(x+h)+h$$

hold for every $x \in (-\frac{1}{h}, \frac{1}{h})$.

It is known that d_L is a metric on Δ and for any sequence $\{F_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in Δ and $F \in \Delta$, we have

$$F_n \xrightarrow{w} F \text{ if and only if } d_L(F_n, F) \to 0.$$

Here we will be interested in the subset of Δ consisting of those elements G that satisfy $G(0) = 0$.

Definition 2.5. A non-decreasing function G defined on $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty]$ that satisfies $G(0) = 0$ and $G(\infty) = 1$ and is left continuous on $(0, \infty)$ is called a distance distribution function.

The set of all distance distribution functions is denoted by Δ^+.

The function d_L is clearly a metric on Δ^+. The metric space (Δ^+, d_L) is compact and hence complete.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $F \in \Delta^+$ be given . Then for any $t > 0$, $F(t) > 1 - t$ if and only if $d_L(F, \epsilon_0) < t$.*

Definition 2.6. A triangle function is a binary operation τ on Δ^+, $\tau : \Delta^+ \times \Delta^+ \to \Delta^+$ which is commutative, nondecreasing, associative in each place, and ϵ_0 is the identity.

Definition 2.7. A probabilistic metric space, briefly PM space, is a triplet $(S, \mathfrak{F}, \tau)$ where S is a nonempty set whose elements are the points of the space; $\mathfrak{F}$ is a function from $S \times S$ into Δ^+, τ is a triangle function, and the following conditions are satisfied for all $x, y, z \in S$:

(1) $\mathfrak{F}(x, x) = \epsilon_0$
(2) $\mathfrak{F}(x, y) \neq \epsilon_0$ if $x \neq y$
(3) $\mathfrak{F}(x, y) = \mathfrak{F}(y, x)$
(4) $\mathfrak{F}(x, z) \geq \tau(\mathfrak{F}(x, y), \mathfrak{F}(y, z))$.

From now on we will denote $\mathfrak{F}(x, y)$ by F_{xy} and its value at a by $F_{xy}(a)$.

Definition 2.8. Let $(S, \mathfrak{F}, \tau)$ be a PM space. For $x \in S$ and $t > 0$, the strong t-neighbourhood of x is defined as the set

$$\mathcal{N}_x(t) = \{y \in S : F_{xy}(t) > 1 - t\}.$$

The collection $\mathfrak{N}_x = \{\mathcal{N}_x(t) : t > 0\}$ is called the strong neighbourhood system at x, and the union $\mathfrak{N} = \bigcup_{x\in S} \mathfrak{N}_x$ is called the strong neighbourhood system for S.

By Theorem 2.1, we can write

$$\mathcal{N}_x(t) = \{y \in S : d_L(F_{xy}, \epsilon_0) < t\}.$$

If τ is continuous, then the strong neighbourhood system $\mathfrak{N}$ determines a Hausdorff topology for S. This topology is called the strong topology for S.

Definition 2.9. Let $(S, \mathfrak{F}, \tau)$ be a PM space. Then for any $t > 0$, the subset $\mathfrak{U}(t)$ of $S \times S$ given by

$$\mathfrak{U}(t) = \{(x, y) : F_{xy}(t) > 1 - t\}$$

is called the strong t-vicinity.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $(S, \mathfrak{F}, \tau)$ be a PM space and τ be continuous. Then for any $t > 0$, there is an $\eta > 0$ such that*

$$\mathfrak{U}(\eta) \circ \mathfrak{U}(\eta) \subset \mathfrak{U}(t)$$

where $\mathfrak{U}(\eta) \circ \mathfrak{U}(\eta) = \{(x, z) :$ for some y, (x, y) and $(y, z) \in \mathfrak{U}(t)\}$.

Note 2.1. *From the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2 we can say that for any $t > 0$, there is an $\eta > 0$ such that $F_{ab}(t) > 1 - t$ whenever $F_{ac}(\eta) > 1 - \eta$ and $F_{cb}(\eta) > 1 - \eta$. Equivalently it can be written as: for any $t > 0$, there is an $\eta > 0$ such that $d_L(F_{ab}, \epsilon_0) < t$ whenever $d_L(F_{ac}, \epsilon_0) < \eta$ and $d_L(F_{cb}, \epsilon_0) < \eta$.*

In a PM space $(S, \mathfrak{F}, \tau)$, if τ is continuous then the strong neighbourhood system $\mathfrak{N}$ determines a Kuratowski closure operation which is called the strong closure, and for any subset A of S the strong closure of A is denoted by $\kappa(A)$ and for any nonempty subset A of S

$\kappa(A) = \{x \in S :$ for any $t > 0$, there is a $y \in A$ such that $F_{xy}(t) > 1 - t\}$.

Remark 2.1. Throughout the rest of the article, in a PM space $(S, \mathfrak{F}, \tau)$, we always assume that τ is continuous and S is endowed with the strong topology.

Definition 2.10. Let $(S, \mathfrak{F}, \tau)$ be a PM space. A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in S is said to be strong convergent to a point $x \in S$ if for any $t > 0$, there exists a natural number N such that $x_n \in \mathcal{N}_x(t)$ where $n \geq N$ and we write $x_n \to x$ or $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$.

Similarly a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in S is called a strong Cauchy sequence if for any $t > 0$, there exists a natural number N such that $(x_m, x_n) \in \mathfrak{U}(t)$ whenever $m, n \geq N$.

By the convergence of a double sequence we mean convergence in Pringsheim's sense [16]. A real double sequence $x = \{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is said to converge to a real number a if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_{jk} - a| < \epsilon$ whenever $j, k \geq N$.

A real double sequence $x = \{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is said to be a Cauchy Sequence if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $j, p \geq N$; $k, q \geq M$, $|x_{jk} - x_{pq}| < \epsilon$.

Definition 2.11. Let $(S, \mathfrak{F}, \tau)$ be a PM space. A double sequence $x = \{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in S is said to be strong convergent to a point $\xi \in S$ if for any $t > 0$, there exists a natural number K such that $x_{jk} \in \mathcal{N}_\xi(t)$ whenever $j, k \geq K$.

In this case we write $x_{jk} \to \xi$ or $\lim\limits_{\substack{j\to\infty \\ k\to\infty}} x_{jk} = \xi$.

Similarly a double sequence $x = \{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in S is called a strong Cauchy double sequence if for any $t > 0$, there exist natural numbers N, M such that for all $j, p \geq N$; $k, q \geq M$, $(x_{jk}, x_{pq}) \in \mathfrak{U}(t)$.

3. **Main Results**

In this section, we are concerned with ideal statistical pre-Cauchy and ideal statistical convergence for double sequences in a PM space.

Definition 3.1. [11] A double sequence $(x_{jk})_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers is I-statistically convergent to a real numbers L, and we write $x_{jk} \overset{I^s}{\to} L$, provided that for $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$

$$\left\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N} : \tfrac{1}{mn}\left|\{(j,k) : |x_{jk} - L| \geq \epsilon, j \leq m, k \leq n\}\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I$$

Definition 3.2. [11] A double sequence $(x_{jk})_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers is said to be I-statistically pre-Cauchy if for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$

$$\left\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N} : \tfrac{1}{m^2n^2}\left|\{(j,k) : |x_{jk} - x_{pq}| \geq \epsilon; j, p \leq m; k, q \leq n\}\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I.$$

Now we introduce some definition in a Probabilistic Metric space.

Definition 3.3. Let $(S, \mathfrak{F}, \tau)$ be a PM space. A double sequence $(x_{jk})_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in S is strong I-statistically convergent to p in S, and we write $x_{jk} \overset{str-I^s}{\to} p$, provided that for $t > 0$ and $\delta > 0$

$$\left\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N} : \tfrac{1}{mn}\left|\{(j,k) : x_{jk} \notin \mathcal{N}_p(t), j \leq m, k \leq n\}\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I$$

Definition 3.4. Let $(S, \mathfrak{F}, \tau)$ be a PM space. A double sequence $(x_{jk})_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in S is said to be strong I-statistically pre-Cauchy if for any $t > 0$ and $\delta > 0$

$$\left\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N} : \tfrac{1}{m^2n^2}\left|\{(j,k) : (x_{jk}, x_{pq}) \notin \mathfrak{U}(t); j, p \leq m; k, q \leq n\}\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I.$$

Note 3.1. *In a PM space a double sequence which is strong statistically convergent is clearly strong I-statistically convergent but converse is not true for this we consider the following example. Consider the equilateral PM space $(S, \mathfrak{F}, \tau)$ where $\mathfrak{F}$ is defined by*

$$\mathfrak{F}_{pq} = \begin{cases} F & ; \quad \text{if } p \neq q \\ \epsilon_0 & ; \quad \text{if } p = q \end{cases}$$

and M is the maximal triangular function. Here $F \in \Delta^+$ is fixed and distinct from ϵ_0 and ϵ_∞. Let I is an ideal of $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$. We let $A \in I$ such that $A = \{(t_m, t_n) : (m,n) \in \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}\}$. Let B be an subset of $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ such that $d_2(B) = 0$.Now fora fixed $p, q \in S$ we define

$$x_{t_m t_n} = \begin{cases} p & ; \quad \text{if } (m,n) \in B \\ q & ; \quad \text{if } (m,n) \notin B \end{cases}$$

and $x_{mn} = p$ *if* $(m,n) \notin A$.

Then $\{x_{mn}\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *is* I*-statistically convergent to* p *but is not statistically convergent.*

Theorem 3.1. *An strong* I*-statistically convergent double sequence is strong* I*-statistically pre-Cauchy double sequence in a PM space.*

Proof. Let $\{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be strong I-statistically convergent to a in S. Let $t > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. Choose $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $1 - (1-\delta_1)^2 < \delta$.

Now for that $t > 0$, there exists an $\eta > 0$ such that for all $a, b, c \in S$ we have $d_L(F_{ac}, \epsilon_0) < t$ whenever $d_L(F_{ab}, \epsilon_0) < \eta$ and $d_L(F_{bc}, \epsilon_0) < \eta$.....(1).

Now for $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\eta > 0$ we have

$$\text{Let } C = \left\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N} : \tfrac{1}{mn}\left|\{(j,k) : x_{jk} \notin \mathcal{N}_a(\eta), j \le m, k \le n\}\right| \ge \delta_1\right\} \in I.$$

Let $(m,n) \in C^c$ then

$$\tfrac{1}{mn}\left|\{(j,k) : x_{jk} \notin \mathcal{N}_a(\eta), j \le m, k \le n\}\right| < \delta_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \tfrac{1}{mn}\left|\{(j,k) : x_{jk} \in \mathcal{N}_a(\eta), j \le m, k \le n\}\right| > 1 - \delta_1.$$

Let $B_{mn} = \{(j,k) : x_{jk} \in \mathcal{N}_a(\eta), j \le m, k \le n\}$.
Then for $(j,k),(p,q) \in B_{mn}$, $d_L(F_{x_{jk}a}, \epsilon_0) < \eta$ and $d_L(F_{x_{pq}a}, \epsilon_0) < \eta$ which implies $d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) < t$ from (1).
This implies $\left[|B_{mn}|^2/m^2n^2\right] \le \frac{1}{m^2n^2}\left|\{(j,k) : (x_{jk}, x_{pq}) \in \mathfrak{U}(t); j,p \le m; k,q \le n\}\right|$.
Thus for all $(m,n) \in C^c$ we have

$$(1-\delta_1)^2 < \left[|B_{mn}|^2/m^2n^2\right] \le \tfrac{1}{m^2n^2}\left|\{(j,k) : (x_{jk}, x_{pq}) \in \mathfrak{U}(t); j,p \le m; k,q \le n\}\right|$$

$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{m^2n^2}\left|\{(j,k) : (x_{jk}, x_{pq}) \notin \mathfrak{U}(t); j,p \le m; k,q \le n\}\right| \le 1-(1-\delta_1)^2 < \delta$. We see that for all $(m,n) \in C^c$, $\frac{1}{m^2n^2}\left|\{(j,k) : (x_{jk}, x_{pq}) \notin \mathfrak{U}(t); j,p \le m; k,q \le n\}\right| < \delta$ and so

$$\left\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N} : \tfrac{1}{m^2n^2}\left|\{(j,k) : (x_{jk}, x_{pq}) \notin \mathfrak{U}(t); j,p \le m; k,q \le n\}\right| \ge \delta\right\} \subset C.$$

Since $C \in I$,

$$\left\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N} : \tfrac{1}{m^2n^2}\left|\{(j,k) : (x_{jk}, x_{pq}) \notin \mathfrak{U}(t); j,p \le m; k,q \le n\}\right| \ge \delta\right\} \in I.$$

Hence x is strong I-statistically pre-Cauchy. □

The next result gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a double sequence to be I-statistically pre-Cauchy.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $x = \{x_{jk}\}$ be double sequence in a PM space $(S, \mathfrak{F}, \tau)$. A double sequence $x = \{x_{jk}\}$ is strong I-statistically pre- Cauchy if and only if* $I - \lim\limits_{\substack{m\to\infty \\ n\to\infty}} \frac{1}{m^2n^2} \sum\limits_{j,p\le m} \sum\limits_{k,q\le n} d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) = 0$

Proof. First we assume that $I - \lim\limits_{\substack{m\to\infty \\ n\to\infty}} \frac{1}{m^2n^2} \sum\limits_{j,p\le m} \sum\limits_{k,q\le n} d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) = 0$. Note that for $t > 0$ and $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ we have $\frac{1}{m^2n^2} \sum\limits_{j,p\le m} \sum\limits_{k,q\le n} d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) \ge t(\frac{1}{m^2n^2} \left|\left\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) \ge t; j, p \le m; k, q \le n\right\}\right|)$.
Therefore for any $\delta > 0$,
$A = \left\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{m^2n^2} \left|\left\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) \ge t; j, p \le m; k, q \le n\right\}\right| \ge \delta\right\}$
$\subset \left\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{m^2n^2} \sum\limits_{j,p\le m} \sum\limits_{k,q\le n} d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) \ge \delta t\right\}$.
Since$I - \lim\limits_{\substack{m\to\infty \\ n\to\infty}} \frac{1}{m^2n^2} \sum\limits_{j,p\le m} \sum\limits_{k,q\le n} d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) = 0$ thus right hand side belongs to I which implies that

$$\left\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{m^2n^2} \left|\left\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) \ge t; j, p \le m; k, q \le n\right\}\right| \ge \delta\right\} \in I.$$

This shows that x is strong I-statistically pre-Cauchy.

Conversely assume that x is strong I- statistically pre-Cauchy double sequence in a PM space and let $\delta > 0$ has been given. Choose $t > 0$ and $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $\frac{t}{2} + \delta_1 < \delta$. Then for each $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$,
$\frac{1}{m^2n^2} \sum\limits_{j,p\le m} \sum\limits_{k,q\le n} d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0)$
$= \frac{1}{m^2n^2} \sum\limits_{\substack{d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) < \frac{t}{2} \\ j,p\le m,k,q\le n}} d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) + \frac{1}{m^2n^2} \sum\limits_{\substack{d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) \ge \frac{t}{2} \\ j,p\le m,k,q\le n}} d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) \le \frac{t}{2} +$
$\left\{\frac{1}{m^2n^2} \left|\left\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) \ge \frac{t}{2}; j, p \le m; k, q \le n\right\}\right|\right\}$. [Since $d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) \le 1$].
Now since x is strong I-statistically pre-Cauchy for that $\delta_1 > 0$

$$A = \left\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{m^2n^2} \left|\left\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) \ge \frac{t}{2}; j, p \le m; k, q \le n\right\}\right| \ge \delta_1\right\} \in I$$

Then for $(m, n) \in A^c$, $\frac{1}{m^2n^2} \left|\left\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) \ge t; j, p \le m; k, q \le n\right\}\right| < \delta_1$. So $\frac{1}{m^2n^2} \sum\limits_{j,p\le m} \sum\limits_{k,q\le n} d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) \le \frac{t}{2} + \delta_1 < \delta$. We see that for all $(m, n) \in A^c$ we have $\frac{1}{m^2n^2} \sum\limits_{j,p\le m} \sum\limits_{k,q\le n} d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) < \delta$. That is

$$\left\{(m,n)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}:\frac{1}{m^2n^2}\sum_{j,p\leq m}\sum_{k,q\leq n}d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}},\epsilon_0)\geq\delta_1\right\}\subset A.$$

Since $A\in I$ so

$$\left\{(m,n)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}:\frac{1}{m^2n^2}\sum_{j,p\leq m}\sum_{k,q\leq n}d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}},\epsilon_0)\geq\delta_1\right\}\in I.$$

Therefore $I-\lim\limits_{\substack{m\to\infty\\n\to\infty}}\frac{1}{m^2n^2}\sum\limits_{j,p\leq m}\sum\limits_{k,q\leq n}d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}},\epsilon_0)=0$. This proves the necessity of the theorem. □

Now we give a sufficient condition under which a strong I-statistically pre-Cauchy double sequence can be a strong I-statistically convergent.

Definition 3.5. [11] Let I be an admissible ideal of $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ and $x=\{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a real double sequence. Let $A_x=\{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}:\{(j,k):x_{jk}<\alpha\}\notin I\}$. Then I-limit inferior of x is given by

$$I-\liminf x=\begin{cases}\inf A_x & ; \quad \text{if } A_x\neq\phi\\ \infty & ; \quad \text{if } A_x=\phi\end{cases}$$

It is known (Theorem 3, [28]) that I-lim inf $x=\alpha$ (finite) if and only if for arbitrary $\epsilon>0$,

$$\{(j,k):x_{jk}<\epsilon+\alpha\}\notin I \text{ and } \{(j,k):x_{jk}<\alpha-\epsilon\}\in I$$

Definition 3.6. Let $x=\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a double sequence of a PM space $(S,\mathfrak{F},\tau)$. Let K be a subset of $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ such that for each $(i,j)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$, there exists a $(m,n)\in K$ such that $(m,n)>(i,j)$ with respect to dictionary order. Then we define $\{x\}_K=\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j\in K}$ as a subsequence of x.

Theorem 3.3. *: Let $(S,\mathfrak{F},\tau)$ be a PM space and $x=\{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a strong I-statistically pre-Cauchy double sequence in S. If $x=\{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ has a subsequence $\{x_{t_jt_k}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ which is strong converges to L and*

$$0<I-\lim_{\substack{m\to\infty\\n\to\infty}}inf\frac{1}{mn}\left|\{(t_j,t_k):t_j\leq m,t_k\leq n;j,k\in\mathbb{N}\}\right|<\infty,$$

then x is strong I-statistically convergent to L.

Proof. Let $I-\lim\limits_{\substack{m\to\infty\\n\to\infty}}inf\frac{1}{mn}\left|\{(t_j,t_k):t_j\leq m,t_k\leq n;j,k\in\mathbb{N}\}\right|=r$. Then $0<r<\infty$. Let $t>0$ and $\delta>0$ be given. We choose $\delta_1>0$ such that $\frac{2\delta_1}{r}<\delta$. Now for that $t>0$ there exists $\eta>0$ such that for all $a,b,c\in S$ we have $d_L(F_{ac},\epsilon_0)<t$ whenever $d_L(F_{ab},\epsilon_0)<\eta$ and $d_L(F_{bc},\epsilon_0)<\eta$.....(1). Now select $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $t_j>n_0$, $t_k>n_0$ for some $j,k\in\mathbb{N}$ then $d_L(F_{x_{t_jt_k}L},\epsilon_0)<\eta$. Let

$A = \{(t_j, t_k) : t_j > n_0, t_k > n_0; j, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $B(t) = \big\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}L}, \epsilon_0) \geq t\big\}$.
But we have from (1) that
$\frac{1}{m^2n^2}\big|\big\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}L}, \epsilon_0) \geq \eta; j, p \leq m; k, q \leq n\big\}\big| \geq \frac{1}{m^2n^2}\sum_{j,p\leq m}\sum_{k,q\leq n}\chi_{A\times B(t)}((j,k)\times$
$(p,q))$
$=\frac{1}{mn}|\{(t_j,t_k) \in A : t_j \leq m, t_k \leq n\}|\times\frac{1}{mn}\big|\big\{(p,q) : d_L(F_{x_{pq}L}, \epsilon_0) \geq t; p \leq m, q \leq n\big\}\big|$.
Since x is strong I-statistically pre-Cauchy so for $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\eta > 0$

$$C = \big\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N} : \tfrac{1}{m^2n^2}\big|\big\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) \geq \eta; j, p \leq m; k, q \leq n\big\}\big| \geq \delta_1\big\} \in I.$$

Therefore for every $(m,n) \in C^c$ we have $\frac{1}{m^2n^2}\big|\big\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) \geq \eta\big\}\big| < \delta_1$.....$(ii)$. Now since $I - \lim\limits_{\substack{m\to\infty\\ n\to\infty}} inf\frac{1}{mn}|\{(t_j,t_k) : t_j \leq m, t_k \leq n; j, k \in \mathbb{N}\}| = r$, so the set$D = \big\{(m,n) : \frac{1}{mn}|\{(t_j,t_k) : t_j \leq m, t_k \leq n; j, k \in \mathbb{N}\}| < \frac{r}{2}\big\} \in I$. So every $(m,n) \in D^c$ we have $\frac{1}{mn}|\{(t_j,t_k) : t_j \leq m, t_k \leq n; j, k \in \mathbb{N}\}| \geq \frac{r}{2}$.....$(iii)$.
Now from (ii), (iii) we get for every $(m,n) \in C^c \cup D^c = (C \cup D)^c$,

$$\tfrac{1}{mn}\big|\big\{(p,q) : d_L(F_{x_{pq}L}, \epsilon_0) \geq t\big\}\big| < \tfrac{2\delta_1}{r} < \delta.$$

This implies $\big\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{mn}\big|\big\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}L}, \epsilon_0) \geq t; j \leq m, k \leq n\big\}\big| \geq \delta\big\} \subseteq (C \cup D)$. Since $C, D \in I$ thus $C \cup D \in I$ and so

$$\big\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N} : \tfrac{1}{mn}\big|\big\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}L}, \epsilon_0) \geq t; j \leq m, k \leq n\big\}\big| \geq \delta\big\} \in I.$$

This shows that x is strong I-statistically convergent to L. □

To give an example of a sequence which is strong I-statistically pre-Cauchy but not strong I-statistically convergent we first observe that every strong I-statistically convergent sequence must have a strong convergent subsequence which is convergent in the usual sense. Since it is not straight forward so we give proof below.
Let $x = \{x_{jk}\}$ be a strong I-statistically convergent double sequence in a PM space convergent to a. For $\delta = t = 1$ we have
$C = \big\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{mn}\big|\big\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}a}, \epsilon_0) \geq 1; j \leq m, k \leq n\big\}\big| \geq 1\big\} \in I$.
Since I is an non-trivial ideal of $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ so $C \neq \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ thus there exists $(m_1, n_1) \in C^c$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}&\tfrac{1}{m_1n_1}\big|\big\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}a}, \epsilon_0) \geq 1; j \leq m_1, k \leq n_1\big\}\big| < 1\\ \Rightarrow\ &\tfrac{1}{m_1n_1}\big|\big\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}a}, \epsilon_0) < 1; j \leq m_1, k \leq n_1\big\}\big| > 0.\end{aligned}$$

So there exists $j_1 \leq m_1$ and $k_1 \leq n_1$ such that $d_L(F_{x_{j_1k_1}a}, \epsilon_0) < 1$. Again taking $\delta = t = \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$D = \big\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N} : \tfrac{1}{mn}\big|\big\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}a}, \epsilon_0) \geq \tfrac{1}{2}; j \leq m, k \leq n\big\}\big| \geq \tfrac{1}{2}\big\} \in I.$$

Let I is strongly admissible ideal then we have $D \cup (\mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2, ..., 4n_1\}) \cup (\{1, 2, ..., 4m_1\} \times \mathbb{N}) \in I$. Since I is non-trivial choose (m_2, n_2) such that $(m_2, n_2) \notin D$ and $m_2 > 4m_1, n_2 > 4n_1$. Then

$$\frac{1}{m_2 n_2} \left|\left\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}a}, \epsilon_0) \geq \tfrac{1}{2}; j \leq m_2, k \leq n_2\right\}\right| < \tfrac{1}{2}$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{m_2 n_2} \left|\left\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}a}, \epsilon_0) < \tfrac{1}{2}; j \leq m, k \leq n\right\}\right| > \tfrac{1}{2}.$$

Now that if $d_L(F_{x_{jk}a}, \epsilon_0) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for all $m_1 < j < m_2$ and for all $n_1 < k < n_2$. Then $\frac{1}{m_2 n_2} \left|\left\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}a}, \epsilon_0) < \frac{1}{2}; j \leq m, k \leq n\right\}\right| \leq \frac{m_1 n_1}{m_2 n_2} < \frac{1}{16}$. Consequently there exist $m_1 < j \leq m_2$ and $n_1 < k \leq n_2$ such that $d_L(F_{x_{jk}a}, \epsilon_0) < \frac{1}{2}$. Now we write $j = j_2$ and $k = k_2$ then clearly $j_1 < j_2$ and $k_1 < k_2$. Proceeding in this way we get a set $K = \{(j_1, k_1), (j_2, k_2), ...\}$ with $j_1 < j_2$, $k_1 < k_2$ and $d_L(F_{x_{j_i k_i}a}, \epsilon_0) < \frac{1}{i}$. This shows that x has a subsequence $\{x\}_K$ which is strong convergent to a.

We now construct the following example

Example 1: Let (S, d) be the Euclidean line and $H(x) = 1 - e^{-x}$ where $H \in \Delta^+$. Consider the simple space (S, d, H) which is generated by (S, d) and H. Then this space becomes a PM space $(S, \mathfrak{F})$ under the continuous triangle function τ_M, which is in fact a Menger space, where $\mathfrak{F}$ is defined on $S \times S$ by

$$\mathfrak{F}(p, q)(t) = F_{pq}(t) = H(\tfrac{t}{d(p,q)}) = 1 - e^{\frac{-t}{|p-q|}} \text{ for all } p, q \in S \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Here we make the convention that $H(t/0) = H(\infty) = 1$ for $t > 0$, and $H(0) = H(\frac{0}{0}) = 0$. Now let $x = \{x_{jk}\}$ be a double sequence in the PM space S defined by

$$x_{jk} = \sum_{u=1}^{m} \frac{1}{u} + \sum_{v=1}^{n} \frac{1}{v}.$$

Where $(m-1)! < j \leq m!$ and $(n-1)! < k \leq n!$. Clearly x has no strong convergent subsequence by construction of the sequence. But x is strong statistically pre-Cauchy since for given $t_1 > 0$ we have the following we define $G_m(t) = 1 - e^{\frac{-t}{\frac{2}{m}}}$ then clearly $G_m(t)$ is a d.d.f and for $t > 0$, $G_m(t)$ weakly convergence to ϵ_0 as $m \to \infty$. So for that $t_1 > 0$ there exists an positive integer M such that for all $m \geq M$ we have $d_L(G_m(t), \epsilon_0) < t_1$. Choose $m > M$ and $m < n$ then for $m! < m_1 \leq (m+1)!$ and $n! < n_1 \leq (n+1)!$, also we have $(m-1)! < j, p \leq m_1$, $(n-1)! < k, q \leq n_1$ then $|x_{jk} - x_{pq}| < \frac{2}{m}$. It follows that for $t_1 > 0$ and $m! < m_1 \leq (m+1)!$, $n! < n_1 \leq (n+1)!$.

$$\frac{1}{m_1^2 n_1^2} \left|\left\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}, \epsilon_0}) < t; j, p \leq m_1, k, q \leq n_1\right\}\right| \geq$$
$$\frac{1}{m_1^2 n_1^2}[m_1 - (m-1)!]^2[n_1 - (n-1)!]^2 \geq [1 - \tfrac{1}{m}]^2[1 - \tfrac{1}{n}]^2. \text{ Since}$$
$$\lim_{m \to \infty, n \to \infty} [1 - \tfrac{1}{m}]^2[1 - \tfrac{1}{n}]^2 = 1,$$

it follows that x is strong statistically pre-Cauchy hence strong I-statistically pre-Cauchy.

Definition 3.7. : Let $(S, \mathfrak{F}, \tau)$ be a PM space and I be a strongly admissible ideal of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. A double sequence $x = \{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in S is said to be strong I^*-statistically pre-Cauchy if there exists a set $M \in \mathbb{F}(I)$ such that $\{x\}_M$ is strong statistically pre-Cauchy i.e; for $t > 0$

$$\lim_{\substack{m,n\to\infty \\ (m,n)\in M}} \tfrac{1}{m^2n^2} \left|\left\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) \geq t; j,p \leq m; k,q \leq n\right\}\right| = 0.$$

Theorem 3.4. *: Let $(S, \mathfrak{F}, \tau)$ be a PM space and $x = \{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ which is strong I^*-statistically pre-Cauchy double sequence in S then x is strong I-statistically pre-Cauchy.*

Proof. Let $t > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ be given. Since $x = \{x_{jk}\}_{j,k}$ is strong I^*-statistically pre-Cauchy so there exists a set $M \in \mathbb{F}(I)$ such that

$$\lim_{\substack{m,n\to\infty \\ (m,n)\in M}} \tfrac{1}{m^2n^2} \left|\left\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) \geq t; j,p \leq m; k,q \leq n\right\}\right| = 0.$$

Then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $(m,n) \in M$ with $m \geq n_0$, $n \geq n_0$ we have $\frac{1}{m^2n^2} \left|\left\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) \geq t; j,p \leq m; k,q \leq n\right\}\right| < \delta$. Let $K = \{1, 2, ..., n_0 - 1\}$. Then obviously
$A = \left\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{m^2n^2} \left|\left\{(j,k) : d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}}, \epsilon_0) \geq t; j,p \leq m; k,q \leq n\right\}\right| \geq \delta\right\} \subseteq (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus M) \cup (K \times \mathbb{N}) \cup (\mathbb{N} \times K)$.....$(i)$. Since I is strongly admissible ideal and $A \in I$ so the set on the right side of (i) belongs to I. Hence $x = \{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is strong I-statistically pre-Cauchy double sequence in a PM space S. □

Definition 3.8. [24] We say an admissible ideal $I \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the property (AP_2) if for every countable family of mutually disjoint sets $\{A_1, A_2, ...\}$ belonging to I, there exists a countable family of sets $\{B_1, B_2, ...\}$ such that $A_j \Delta B_j$ is included in the finite union of rows and columns in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j \in I$. Here Δ denotes the symmetric difference between two sets.

Lemma 3.5. [24] *Let $\{P_i\}$ be a countable collection of subsets of $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ such that $P_i \in \mathbb{F}(I)$ for each i, where $\mathbb{F}(I)$ is a filter associated with a strong admissible ideal I with the property (AP_2). Then there exists a set $P \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that $P \in \mathbb{F}(I)$ and the set $P \setminus P_i$ is finite for all i.*

Theorem 3.6. *Let $(S, \mathfrak{F}, \tau)$ be a PM space. If I is a strong admissible ideal of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with the property (AP_2) then the notions of strong I-statistically pre-Cauchy and I^*-statistically pre-Cauchy coincide.*

Proof. : From the theorem[3.4] it is sufficient to prove that a strong I-statistically pre-Cauchy double sequence $x = \{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in a PM space S is strong I^*-statistically pre-Cauchy. Let $x = \{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in S be a strong I-statistically pre-Cauchy double sequence. Let $t > 0$ be given. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_i =$

$\left\{(m,n)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}:\frac{1}{m^2n^2}\left|\{(j,k):(x_{jk},x_{pq})\notin\mathfrak{U}(t);j,p\leq m;k,q\leq n\}\right|<\frac{1}{i}\right\}$; . Then $P_i\in\mathbb{F}(I)$ for each $i\in\mathbb{N}$. Since I has the property (AP_2), then by lemma[3.5] there exists a set $P\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ such that $P\in\mathbb{F}(I)$ and $P\setminus P_i$ is finite for all $i\mathbb{N}$. Now we show that

$$\lim_{\substack{m,n\to\infty\\(m,n)\in P}}\frac{1}{m^2n^2}\left|\left\{(j,k):d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}},\epsilon_0)\geq t;j,p\leq m;k,q\leq n\right\}\right|=0.$$

Let $\epsilon>0$ be given then there exists a $j\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $j>\frac{1}{\epsilon}$. Let $(m,n)\in P$ and since $P\setminus P_j$ is a finite set, so there exists $k=k(j)\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $(m,n)\in P_j$ for all $m,n\geq k(j)$. Therefore for all $(m,n)\in P$ with $m,n\geq k(j)$ we have

$$\frac{1}{m^2n^2}\left|\left\{(j,k):d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}},\epsilon_0)\geq t;j,p\leq m;k,q\leq n\right\}\right|<\frac{1}{j}<\epsilon.$$

Since $\epsilon>0$ is arbitrary, so

$$\lim_{\substack{m,n\to\infty\\(m,n)\in P}}\frac{1}{m^2n^2}\left|\left\{(j,k):d_L(F_{x_{jk}x_{pq}},\epsilon_0)\geq t;j,p\leq m;k,q\leq n\right\}\right|=0.$$

Therefore x is strong I^*-statistically pre-Cauchy. □

Next we present an interesting property of I-statistically pre-Cauchy double sequences of real numbers in line of Theorem 2.4 [8].

Theorem 3.7. *Let $x=\{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a double sequence of real numbers and (α,β) is an open interval such that $x_{jk}\notin(\alpha,\beta)$, for all $(j,k)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$. We write $A=\{(j,k):x_{jk}\leq\alpha\}$ and $B=\{(j,k):x_{jk}\geq\beta\}$ and further assume that the following property is satisfied*

$$\limsup_{m,n\to\infty}D_{mn}(A)\text{-}\liminf_{m,n\to\infty}D_{mn}(A)<r.$$

for some $0\leq r\leq 1$. If x is I-statistically pre-Cauchy then either $I-\lim_{m,n\to\infty}D_{mn}(A)=0$ or $I-\lim_{m,n\to\infty}D_{mn}(B)=0$, where $D_{mn}(A)=\frac{1}{mn}\left|\{(j,k)\in A:j\leq m,k\leq n\}\right|$.

Proof. Here $B=\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}\setminus A$ and so $D_{mn}(B)=1-D_{mn}(A)$. for all $(m,n)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$. To complete the proof it is sufficient to show that either $I-\lim_{m,n\to\infty}D_{mn}(A)=0$ or 1. Note that

$$\chi_{A\times B}((j,k),(p,q))\leq\left|\{(j,k):|x_{jk}-x_{p,q}|\geq\beta-\alpha\}\right|.....(1)$$

Since x is I-statistically pre-Cauchy, so

$$I-\lim_{m,n\to\infty}\frac{1}{mn}\left|\{(j,k):|x_{jk}-x_{p,q}|\geq\beta-\alpha;j,p\leq m;k,q\leq n\}\right|=0.$$

But from (1) we get,

$$0=L.H.S=I-\lim_{m,n\to\infty}D_{mn}(A)[D_{mn}(B)]=I-\lim_{m,n\to\infty}D_{mn}(A)[1-D_{mn}(A)].$$

Now from the definition of I-convergence it follows that

$$\left\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : D_{mn}(A)[1 - D_{mn}(A)] \geq \tfrac{1}{25}\right\} \in I.$$

Say $M = \left\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : D_{mn}(A)[1 - D_{mn}(A)] < \tfrac{1}{25}\right\} \in \mathbb{F}(I)$. Clearly for all $(m,n) \in M$ either $D_{mn}(A) < \frac{1}{5}$ or $D_{mn}(A) > \frac{4}{5}$. If $D_{mn}(A) < \frac{1}{5}$ for all $(m,n) \in M_1 \subset M$ for some $M_1 \in \mathbb{F}(I)$ then $I - \lim\limits_{m,n\to\infty} D_{mn}(A) = 0$. This is because for any $\epsilon > 0$, $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{5}$, from the definition of I-convergence we get $\left\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : D_{mn}(A)[1 - D_{mn}(A)] \geq \tfrac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right\} = M_2(say) \in \mathbb{F}(I)$. Taking $M_0 = M_1 \cap M_2$, we see that $M_0 \in \mathbb{F}(I)$ and $D_{mn}(A) < \epsilon$, for all $(m,n) \in M_0$. Therefore

$$\{(m,n) : D_{mn}(A) \geq \epsilon\} \subset (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus M_0).$$

Since $(\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}\setminus M_0) \in I$ so $\{(m,n) : D_{mn}(A) \geq \epsilon\} \in I$ and hence $I - \lim\limits_{m,n\to\infty} D_{mn}(A) = 0$. Similarly if $D_{mn}(A) > \frac{4}{5}$ for all $(m,n) \in M_3 \subset M$ for some $M_3 \in \mathbb{F}(I)$. then we can show that $I - \lim\limits_{m,n\to\infty} D_{mn}(A) = 1$.

If neither of above cases happen then considering dictionary order on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, we can find an increasing sequence

$$\{(m_1,n_1) < (m_2,n_2) < .....\}$$

from M such that

$$D_{m_i n_i} < \tfrac{1}{5} \text{ when } i \text{ is odd integer.}$$

$$D_{m_i n_i} > \tfrac{4}{5} \text{ when } i \text{ is even integer.}$$

Then clearly

$$\limsup_{m,n\to\infty} D_{mn}(A) \text{-} \liminf_{m,n\to\infty} D_{mn}(A) \geq \tfrac{3}{5}.$$

We again start the above process and see that

$$\left\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : D_{mn}(A)[1 - D_{mn}(A)] < \tfrac{1}{36}\right\} = M_4(say) \in \mathbb{F}(I).$$

Which consequently implies as above that either $I - \lim\limits_{m,n\to\infty} D_{mn}(A) = 1$. or $I - \lim\limits_{m,n\to\infty} D_{mn}(A) = 0$. or if neither holds then

$$\limsup_{m,n\to\infty} D_{mn}(A) \text{-} \liminf_{m,n\to\infty} D_{mn}(A) \geq \tfrac{4}{6}.$$

Proceeding in this way we observe that the process stops only when we get either $I - \lim\limits_{m,n\to\infty} D_{mn}(A) = 0$. or $I - \lim\limits_{m,n\to\infty} D_{mn}(A) = 1$. and if it does not stop at a finite step then we will have

$$\limsup_{m,n\to\infty} D_{mn}(A) \text{-} \liminf_{m,n\to\infty} D_{mn}(A) \geq \lim_{k\to\infty} \tfrac{k-2}{k}.$$

Which contradicts to our assumption that $0 \leq r \leq 1$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Remark 1 : For $A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ if $I - \lim_{m,n\to\infty} \frac{1}{mn} |\{(j,k) \in A : j \leq m, k \leq n\}|$ exists we can say that the I-asymptotic density of A exists and denote it by $d_I(A)$. Therefore the above result can be re-phrased as: Let $x = \{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a double sequence of real numbers and (α, β) is an open interval such that $x_{jk} \notin (\alpha, \beta)$, for all $(j,k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. We write $A = \{(j,k) : x_{jk} \leq \alpha\}$ and further assume that the following property is satisfied

$$\limsup_{m,n\to\infty} D_{mn}(A) - \liminf_{m,n\to\infty} D_{mn}(A) < r.$$

for some $0 \leq r \leq 1$. If x is I-statistically pre-Cauchy then either $d_I(A) = 0$ or $d_I(A) = 1$. It should be mentioned in this context that for $I = I_{fin}$, the above result holds without any additional assumption since it is easy to see thus we omitted. For our final result we assume that I is such an ideal and x is such that the above result holds without any additional assumption i.e;
$(**)$ If $x = \{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is I-statistically pre-Cauchy double sequence of real numbers and $x_{jk} \notin (\alpha, \beta)$ for all $(j,k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ then either $d_I(\{(j,k) : x_{jk} \leq \alpha\}) = 0$ or $d_I(\{(j,k) : x_{jk} \geq \beta\}) = 0$.
Before we prove our final result, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 3.9. A real number ξ is said to be an I-statistical cluster point of a double sequence $x = \{x_{jk}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers if for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$d_I(\{(j,k) : |x_{jk} - \xi| < \epsilon\}) \neq 0.$$

Theorem 3.8. *Let $x = \{x_{jk}\}$ be an I-statistically pre-Cauchy double sequence of real numbers. If the set of limit points of x is no-where dense and x has a I-statistical cluster point. Then x is I-statistically convergent under the hypothesis $(**)$.*

Proof. Suppose x has a I-statistical cluster point $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. So for any $\epsilon > 0$ we have $d_I(\{(j,k) : |x_{jk} - \xi| < \epsilon\}) \neq 0$. Assume that x is I-statistically pre-Cauchy satisfying the hypothesis $(**)$ but x is not I-statistically convergent, so there is an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $d_I(\{(j,k) : |x_{jk} - \xi| \geq \epsilon_0\}) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality we assume that $d_I(\{(j,k) : x_{jk} \leq \xi - \epsilon_0\}) \neq 0$. We claim that every point of $(\xi - \epsilon_0, \xi)$ is a limit point of x. If not, then we can find an interval $(\alpha, \beta) \subset (\xi - \epsilon_0, \xi)$ such that $x_{jk} \notin (\alpha, \beta)$ for all $(j,k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. From above it immediately follows that both $d_I(\{(j,k) : x_{jk} \leq \alpha\}) = 0$ and $d_I(\{(j,k) : x_{jk} \geq \beta\}) = 0$. But this contradicts the hypothesis $(**)$. Hence every point of $(\xi - \epsilon_0, \xi)$ is a limit point of x which contradicts that the set of limit points of x is a no-where dense set. Hence x is I-statistically convergent. □

Acknowledgment
The second author is thankful to UGC, India for Research fund.

References

[1] C. Belen and M. Yildirim, On generalized statistical convergence of double sequences via ideals, *Ann. Univ. Ferrara* 58(1) (2012), 11-20.

[2] J. Conor, J. Fridy, and J. Kline, Statistically pre-Cauchy Sequences, *Analysis*, 14(1994) 311-317.

[3] P. Das, P. Malik, On Extremal I-limit points of double sequences, *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.* 40 (2008), 91-102.

[4] P. Das, , P. Kostyrko, W. Wilczyński and P. Malik, $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}^*$ convergence of double sequences, *Math.Slovaca*, 58(5)(2008), 605-620.

[5] P. Das, E. Savas, S.Kr. Ghosal, On generalizations of certain summability methods using ideals, *Appl. Math. Lett.,* 24(2011) 1509-1514.

[6] P. Das, E. Savas, On I-statistically pre-Cauchy sequences, *Taiwanese J. Math.*,18(1)(2014) 115-126.

[7] K. Dutta, P. Malik, M. Maity, Statistical convergence of double sequences in probabilistic metric spaces, *Selcuk J. Math.*, vol-14, 1(2013) 57-70.

[8] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, *Colloq. Math.*, 2(1951) 241-244.

[9] J.A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, *Analysis*, 5(1985) 301-313.

[10] K. Menger, Statistical metric spaces, *Proc. Nat. Acad of Sci., U.S.A*, 28(1942) 535-537.

[11] M. Mursaleen, and O.H. Edely, H.H. Osama Statistical convergence of double sequences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*,2003, 288, no.1, 223-231.

[12] A. Pringsheim, Zur theorie der Zweifach unendlichen Zahlenfolgen, *Math. Ann.,* 53, no.(3)(1900) 289-321.

[13] T. Sálat, P. Kostyrko, W. Wilczyński, I-convergence, *Real Anal. Exchange*, 26(2)(2000-2001) 669-685.

[14] E. Savas, P. Das, A generalized statistical convergence via ideals, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 24(2011) 826-830.

[15] I. J. Schoenberg, The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 66(1959), 361-375.

[16] B. Schweizer, and A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.*, 10(1960) 313-334.

[17] B. Schweizer, and A. Sklar, and E. Thorp, The metrization of statistical metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* 10(1960) 673-675.

[18] B. Schweizer, and A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces arising from sets of random variables in Euclidean n-space, *Theory of probability and its Applications,* 7(1962) 447-456.

[19] B. Schweizer, and A. Sklar, tringle inequalities in a class of statistical metric spaces, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 38(1963) 401-406.

[20] B. Schweizer, and A. Sklar, Probabilistic metric spaces, *(North-Holland Publishing Co., New York).*, 1983.

[21] C. Şençimen, S. Pehlivan, strong ideal convergence in Probabilistic metric spaces, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci)*, 119(3)(2009) 401-410.

[22] H. Steinhus, Sur la convergence ordinatre et la convergence asymptotique, *Colloq. Math.*, 2(1951) 73-74.

[23] R. M. Tardiff, Topologies for Probabilistic Metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.*, 65(1976) 233-251.

[24] E. Thorp, Generalized topologies for ststistical metric spaces, *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 51(1962), 9-21.

[25] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric Series, Second ed., *Cambridge Univ. Press*, 1979.